« Magnifico viro Imperatori Aethiopum », to give him notice of the departure of Jourdain Cathala, bishop of «Columbum» in India (cf. Golubovich, ibid., 11, 432; 111, 357-358). There was regular intercourse by sea between Abyssinia and the western coast of India, and I rather think that, by Ethiopia, Montecorvino and Jourdain Cathala really meant Abyssinia. But the location of «Ethiopia» in Jourdain's letter was misunderstood in Avignon, and this led to the strange letter of 1329. Moreover, a similar letter was addressed on September 11, 1329, to the Emperor of Cathay, who was of course still farther from Persia and India than Abyssinia (cf. A. Mercati, Monum. Vaticana veterem diocesim Columbersem . . . respicientia, Rome, 1923, 8 vo, p. 16; Mgr. Mercati, in agreement with Golubovich, is in favour of looking for Jourdain's and John XXII's «Ethiopia» in India). Cf. also Moule's opinion Vol. I, 435-436. and have equividents in V.A. E. W.-V.EF : in spate of the latest value of the include of the include of

without the barrier of him in which winds. Pala does not all the little to the barriers of the first time.

but to distinguish it from tile other Au-belin in Callist. I salaremedana seek bir need ti delegalisib ot bud

4. ACBALEC MANGI and do and dimension to hime nels and nearly was read a di Heamid bassarque

City [acbalec mangi] L achilechimangi P acmelchamangi LT acmelec mangi F, VA Province abalet magy G abel mangi VB acbalac mangi Fr, t, VA acbalec mangi F, L acbalech mangi LT acbalet manzy FB

anbalet mangi TA³ arcamalec FB atiualet FA

acbaluch mançi Z achalec mansy FAt achalet manzi FA achbaluch mangi R achebelach mandi V

ambalec magi TA¹ cinelech VL cinelech mangi VLr machare emelegamin VB machase emelegamin V

> alchilechimangi P ambalet mangi TA¹ anbalet mangi TA³ cinelech mangi VL ebeleh manzi VB

Explained in Ramusio's text as meaning «the White City on the border (de' confini) of Mangi». It is plainly a Persian construction, Aq-baliq-i-Manzi, «the White City of Manzi», so called to distinguish it from the Aq-baliq of Cathay, which is Chêng-ting-fu. I have kept the obalec of F, though I might equally well have preferred the obaluc of R and Z on the analogy of Cambaluc, where all good mss. have u; a Turkish \ddot{i} is easily heard as u by foreigners (see also «Camul»). Opinions have varied about the identity of this place. It has escaped former editors that Rašīdu-'d-Dīn (Bl, 11, 598) mentions, in the «kingdom» of Tangut, which was then the appanage of Mangala's son Ananda, the following places: Kinjanfu (= Hsi-an-fu), Qamju (Kan-chou), Uraqaï (I read اورقى instead of the editor's اوردى), Ḥalajan (see «Calacian») and اق باليق Aq-baliq. BLOCHET sees here Polo's «Acbaluc Mangi», and I think he is right.

To account for the Turkish name, it is useless to look, with Klaproth and Pauthier, for a Chinese name containing the word «white»; there is no «white» in the Chinese name of the other Aq-baliq, Chêng-ting-fu. The only important place which fits Polo's indications is 漢中 Hanchung on the Han River. I have little doubt that Aq-baliq is the Turkish name of Han-chung; YULE (Y, 11, 35) has suspected it, and I agree here for once with Charignon (Ch, 11, 187).