to Qara-qorum. In 1412 or 1413, the great Mongol leader Aruqtai received from the Ming Emperor the title of «prince of Honing» (Br, II, 164); Ho-ning, as we have seen, had been the administrative name of Qara-qorum since 1312. Later Mongol history is practically silent on Qara-qorum. «Sanang Setsen», in connection with Aruqtai, but with the impossible date of 1415, relates a sentence referring to the projected rebuilding of «the cities of Qorumqan» (Schmidt, Gesch. der Ost-Mongolen, 145-146, 404). Qara-qorum seems here to be meant, but the form is unexplained. As many Mongol names of mountains popularly end in -qan, -han, «lord», «sovereign», it may be that Qorumqan should be equivalent to «the cities of the [Qara-]Qorum mountains», «cities» in the plural being a plural majestatis. The same Mongol chronicle mentions twice Honing for the years 1552 and 1576 (Schmidt, loc. cit. 211, 226). So the last two mentions of Chinghiz-khan's and Ögödäi's capital in Mongol texts call it by its Chinese name; that of Qara-qorum had by then sunk into oblivion.

But, if the name was forgotten, the site remained. As is well known, the great Buddhist monastery Erdeni-ju, founded in 1585, was erected on the very ruins of Qara-qorum (Br, 1, 123).

Penzer (Pe, xxxII, xLv, xLvII) still thinks that Polo may have visited Qara-qorum. The little that Polo relates of the city seems, on the contrary, to establish that for him it was only a name.

119. CARAGIAN

City		
caracham LT	carayan Z	charaiam VA
caraian F, FA, FB, L	carazan L; R	charayan VB
carayam P	charagian TA ¹	chataian, chiarenza V
Province	didi. The comment of the special property	ter han the committee of the large many from the large many
caiaran, carassan, charayan VB	caraian F, Fr, t, FA, FB, L, Z;	charagian TA1, V
caraam G	\mathbf{R}	charaiam, charaian VA
caracham LT	carayam P	charangia TA ³
caraçan Z	carazan R	charauian, chataian, chataio V
caragian F	charagia TA1r, VL	charian VL, VLr
caraiam F, FAt, LT, Pr, VA, Z		

The name is certain and represents the Mong. Qara-Jang. Fra Mauro follows the mistaken distinction made in some mss. when he gives on his map two places, «Charazan» and «Charaian» (Hallberg, 114). On the contamination between «Caragian» and «Calacian» in the Catalan Map, see «Calacian». Rašīdu-'d-Dīn writes ﴿ Qara-Jang (Blochet's edition always gives Qara-Čang, but Rašīd's mss., here as usual, do not distinguish between ɔ ʃ and ɔ č, and simply give ʃ, which in the present case is the only correct form). The ordinary Chinese transcription is 哈 東川章 Ha-la-chang (YS, 11, 2a; 123, 4b, 8a; 125, 1b, etc.), but other forms occur: 合 東川章 Ha-la-chang (YS, 8, 2b; 14, 1b; 121, 3a), 阿 東川章 A-la-chang (YS, 14, 2b), and 哈 東川張 Ha-la-chang (YS, 134, 9a; Yüan tien-chang, 3, 2b [in a document of 1312 in spoken Chinese]).