originally meant « desert », like  $qobi > \gamma obi$ , our Gobi, and the two words are connected; the « hollow of a tree » is a late invention. This legend of the birth from the « hollow of a tree » is not known elsewhere in connection with the Uighurs. Yet Polo is not so wrong in his statements. Both Juwaini and the YS (122,1 a) relate how at night a stream of light fell upon a tree; whereupon the tree began to swell and in due time gave birth to five boys, the youngest of whom was the first king of the Uighurs (cf. Br, 1, 247; Marquart, in SPAW, 1912, 490). I have already expressed the view (TP, 1930, 22) that the two legends must have a common origin.

## 222. ESCEQE

esceqe F	antixi VA	vechi V
sech Z	chadi VB	veschovi TA1
siechi R	ueschoui TA3	vieillars FB
		viellars FA

Esceqe, not «esceque» as in B, 205 (cxcII²), represents Arabic šaīḥ, meaning «old man», «elder», as Polo says, and is our sheikh; it occurs as «seic» in Joinville. On the various forms of the word in European languages, cf. Lokotsch, No. 1775; and it is šeg in Tibetan (Laufer, Sino-Iranica, 595). «Sech» in Z may be for \*Scech or \*Siech (cf. R's Siechi), and it may be that, owing to Polo's explanation «old man», it is this word which has been altered (and not at first translated) into vechi in V and veschovi in TA¹. In FA and FB, «vieillars» does not of course represent esceqe, which is omitted in all Mss. except F, Z, but its meaning of «old man» as given by Polo (in FC¹ it has become «vilains»).

VB offers a « learned » correction when its substitutes « chadi » for esceqe. « Chadi » is Arabic qāḍī, a Mussulman « judge », but the word does not occur in Polo (see « Casses »). On the forms in European languages, cf. Lokotsch, No. 984, particularly Span. and Port. cadi, Span. alcalde. In India, the Arabic  $dw\bar{a}d$  sounds z, so that the Anglo-Indian forms are based on  $q\bar{a}z\bar{i}$ (cf. Hobson-Jobson<sup>2</sup>, 177-180). In Malay, it is pronounced -ll-, so that qāḍī becomes qāllī; this accounts for the form kali in Cham (cf. Cabaton, Dictionnaire čam-français, 67). Modern Chinese transcriptions in Central Asia are based on qāzī, as is shown in the second half of the 18th cent. by the transcriptions 哈子 ha-tzŭ and 海子 hai-tzŭ in ch. 8 of the Hsi-yü wên-chien lu (Courant, Catalogue, No. 1831). But the mediaeval transcriptions suppose qādī. Such is the case with the « cadi » repeatedly mentioned by Odoric in the region of Bombay (Wy, 424-434) and with the « cadinus » of Jourdain Cathala (JRAS, 1928, 349-376) or the « cadini » (plural) of Pascual de Vittoria (Wy, 505). It was also the pronunciation  $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$  which the Chinese transcribed in the Mongol period as 哈 的 ha-ti. The ha-ti had official authority over the Mussulmans, but their offices were suppressed in 1311 (cf. YS, 24, 4 a; T'ung-chih t'iao-ko, 29, 5 a-b). So we may practically be certain that, if Polo had mentioned the  $q\bar{a}d\bar{i}$ , it would have been as cadi or chadi; but this is not enough to make us accept VB's « chadi » as really due to Polo.