

by GAUBIL. His biography is in *YS*, 131; cf. also *T'u Chi*, 93, 5-8; 153, 40-41. The difficulty is that his biographies do not connect him with the campaign against Li T'an. I have thought of a solution, very hypothetical. Polo places Li T'an's rebellion and capture at «Tundinfu», and it will be seen under that name that «Tundinfu» is certainly Tung-p'ing-fu. Now the location is of course wrong, since Li T'an's seat was at Yi-tu, and he was captured and put to death at Chinan-fu, the seat of another hereditary commander, Chang Hung. But Tung-p'ing-fu was the seat of a third hereditary war lord, the one of the Yen family. It happens that the Tatar Manghutai's grandfather, Tas [? < Turk. Taš]-qorči, had been appointed by Ögödäi as *daruyacı*, or «resident», at Tung-p'ing, ranking above the Yen family, and this title was afterwards inherited by Manghutai's uncle Äsän-buqa. In Polo's time, Manghutai was the foremost member of the family, and it may just be that Polo, misplacing Li T'an's defeat at Tung-p'ing, brought in Manghutai on account of Manghutai's family position in that place. Manghutai died in 1290.

289. MOSUL

mausul FA, FB
mesul LT
monsul TA³, VB
morsul, *rusuor* V

mosil G
mosul F, Fr, t, FA, FB, L,
 LT, P, P⁵, TA¹, VA,
 VL; G, R

moxul Z; R
musul Z

Merchants

mesallyni LT
moselins FB
mosolin TA¹

mosolini V
mosolins FA
mossulini R

mosulin F
mosulini L, L¹
musolini VA

Muslin

mezelli LT
moselin FB
mosolin TA¹

mosolini V, VB
mosolins FA
mossulini R

mosulin F
mosulini L, L¹
musolini VA, VL

On *موسل* Mawšil, our Mosul, cf. *Y*, I, 61, and *EI* («Mōšul»), notice by E. HONIGMANN. Some Mss. write «Mansul», «Monsul», and this form finds counterparts in Ricold's «Monsal» and Mari- gnolli's «Monsol» (cf. HALLBERG, 361); it is not evident that in all these cases the -n- is a clerical error for -u-, so that the «Mausul» adopted, following PAUTHIER, in YULE's edition is not really justified. But, if Polo wrote simply «Mosul», as it seems, the forms with -n- would be due to a copyist who knew the name otherwise. This other source cannot be Hethum, who uses only «Mosel» (*Hist. des Crois.*, Arm., II, 131, 270); les *Gestes des Chiprois* write «Le Mausel» (*ibid.*, 843): Fra