293 KAIAN

Hung Chün (ch. 24) and T'u Chi (ch. 146) have each a whole chapter on the Ismailians (Mula-i), but these are, as a rule, second-hand rehearsals of Western sources, sometimes faulty, with a few useful hints.

To the biography given in Y, 1, 148, and Y^1 , 11, 258, may be added, on Alamut, the more recent accounts of Ivanow, L. Lockhart and Fr. Stark in Geogr. Journal, Jan. 1931, 38-60, and of EDMUNDS, ibid., June 1931, 555-558.

on the reservoir and this life. Life A. A. A. of pathnesses that the bring of event Labradard-radida

willow, but the dangeheer of the deceased long.

291. MUS

masa V

mus L, Z; R

This is Mush (Mūš) in Armenia; the name is written « Mus » in R, Z, and L, and altered to « Masa » in V; although we would have preferred a form from F or FA, it seems that Polo really used the word « Mus » and not « Musce » or the like. Polo couples « Mus » with « Meridin » as the name of one province. This, as YULE has observed (Y, 1, 62), is rather surprising, and there is no confirmation of such use of the two names. On Mūš, cf. LS, 116.

Total (F. 1. 334; M. 505) as a the great-great-granelson of Chingle be more as

than's brothers, Joci-quest. Herepet to's opinion a simply a mistre. As the first

names the spread prince by M. Naisvan the son of the prince by

tables perhaps because his name, had been suppressed from the Imperial of

the first and a complete combination of two apparents contilled in a started in the first first and the continues of the cont 292. MUTIFILI

anruessi, morfili VA	muzuliro V	multifily TA1, TA3
kurfali G	motyfy, mutfily, mutifyli	murfili R
molfili LT	FB and transport temporary	murphili R, Rr
molfuli LTr	moufy FAt	mutfili F, FA, L, P
monsul VB, Rr	muisuli VL	mutfyly FBt
montifi F	mulfili Pt	muthphyli Z
mosul Fr, t	multifili TA ¹ r	mutifili F, FA
motifi L	multifilii TA3	mutisili Pr
motifili, murfoli (cor.).	who revolted against Quibilet is not	in the same regions. The Navan

This form, supported by some of the Mss., and indirectly by «Butifilis» of the Catalan and Leardo maps (cf. Hallberg, 364-365), seems to me to be better than the « Mutfili » of Yule and Benedetto. The name, as Yule has shown (Y, 11, 362; Y1, 111, 70), is an Arabic pronunciation of Motupalli, Motupallé, Mutapali, of modern authors and maps; it lies about 110 kilometres southwest of Masulipatam (there was no reason, in B1, 445, still to quote PAUTHIER's identification of « Mutifili » with this last city). Apart from Polo, I find the name in the Muḥīţ of Sīdī 'Alī Čelebī (1554), who probably took it from Ibn Mājid or from Sulaymān al-Mahrī, both of the first half of the 16th cent.; Sīdī 'Alī writes متبلى which FERRAND transcribes Mutibalī (Fe, 498) and Motubalī