386. ZAFU 885

Tarikh-i-Rashidi, 257, 296; Stein, Ancient Khotan, 87, 88). It may be worth pointing out that Hsü Sung, who had travelled in Chinese Turkestan, devotes a passage of his Hsi-yü shui-tao chi, completed in 1821, to ancient sites at Yarkand: « At the south-eastern corner inside the city, there is an old stūpa (浮 圖 fou-t'u), more than 300 feet high; the Moslems call it 圖 特 T'u-t'ê (? Tut) and say that it was built by people of the kingdom of K'a-la-ho-t'ai (Qara-Ḥītaī); it is entirely made of bricks, without beams or rafters. South of the city, there is an old tomb, with several tens of pine-trees and cypresses, and stone sheep, camels and horses, and also with two men of stone holding in their hands the tablet (hu) and carrying swords. People say that it is the tomb of a man of the kingdom of Qara-Hitai. If any one tries to dig there, there is wind and rain. The people of that region calthe Chinese Ho-t'ai (Hītaī) ». Hsü Sung was a careful scholar, and in view of the evident exaggel ration in the height of the $st\bar{u}pa$, one may be permitted to doubt whether he ever visited it himself. But the details given suggest that it was a Buddhist stūpa, and consequently prior to the Qara-Hitai occupation of the 12th cent. The name is not clear; tut means «mulberry» in Turki, but could not be used alone as the name of a $st\bar{u}pa$. The tomb with the stone statues of men and animals is still more puzzling; the arrangement suggests a Chinese prototype, and the Qara-Hitai may have used it. But no conclusion can be reached at present, and Hsü Sung's information can only be taken as an incentive to further inquiries on the spot.

Some Polo Mss. mention at Yārkänd the presence of Jacobites alongside with Nestorians; for a discussion of this point, see « Jacobins ».

385. YRAC

arac TA1, TA3
hirach R

irach V irachi VB

yrac F, FA, FB, L, LT yrach Z

the first was the same the state of the same the Cambridge and the same the same the same the same than

'Irāq, our Irak. I do not find the name in any other western mediaeval source. Polo misapplies it when he includes Tabrīz in Yrac; cf. Y, 1, 75.

386. ZAFU

zafu VB (cf. CACIONFU and CAICIU).