attribute Hu, it may be of foreign origin, its foreign name being 割狐 露澤 ko-hu-lu-tse (*kat-wu-lou-dzak). Unfortunately it is not indicated at what time this transcription was adopted, nor does Li Ši-čen state the source from which he derived it. The only Tang author who mentions the plant, Su Kun, does not give this foreign name. At all events, it does not convey the impression of representing a T'ang transcription; on the contrary, it bears the ear-marks of a transcription made under the Yüan. Su Kun observes, "Hu hwan-lien is produced in the country Po-se and grows on dry land near the sea-shore. Its sprouts are like those of the hia-ku ts'ao 夏枯草 (Brunella vulgaris). The root resembles a bird's bill; and the cross-section, the eyes of the mainah. The best is gathered in the first decade of the eighth month." Su Sun of the Sung period remarks that the plant now occurs in Nan-hai (Kwan-tun), as well as in Ts'in-lun 秦隴 (Šen-si and Kan-su). This seems to be all the information on record. It is not known to me that Barkhausia grows in Persia; at least, Schlimmer, in his extensive dictionary of Persian plants, does not note it.

Sou-ti 數低 is mentioned by Č'en Ts'an-k'i as a plant (not yet identified) with seeds of sweet and warm flavor and not poisonous, and growing in Si-fan (Western Barbarians or Tibet) and in northern China 北土, resembling hwai hian 黃香 (Pimpinella anisum). The Hu make the seeds into a soup and eat them.² In this case the term Hu may be equated with Si-fan, but among the Chinese naturalists the latter term is somewhat loosely used, and does not necessarily designate Tibet.³

Hiun-k'iun 芎藭 (Conioselinum univittatum) is an umbelliferous plant, which is a native of China. As early as the third century A.D. it is stated in the Wu ¾ pen ts'ao⁴ that some varieties of this plant grow among the Hu; and Li Ši-čen annotates that the varieties from the Hu and Žun are excellent, and are hence styled hu k'iun 胡藭. It is stated that this genus is found in mountain districts in Central Europe, Siberia, and north-western America.

¹ What STUART (Chinese Materia Medica, p. 65) says regarding this plant is very inexact. He arbitrarily identifies the term Hu with the Kukunor, and wrongly ascribes Su Kun's statement to Tao Hun-kin. Such an assertion as, "the drug is now said to be produced in Nan-hai, and also in Sen-si and Kan-su," is misleading, as this "now" comes from an author of the Sung period, and does not necessarily hold good for the present time.

² Pen ts'ao kan mu, Ch. 26, p. 22 b.

³ Cf. below, p. 344.

⁴ Cf. Beginnings of Porcelain, p. 115.

⁵ He also imparts a Sanskrit name from the Suvarnaprabhāsa-sūtra in the form 閣 莫 迦 še-mo-k'ie, *ja-mak-gia. The genus is not contained in WATT's Dictionary.

⁶ Treasury of Botany, Vol. I, p. 322.