THE CUCUMBER

9. Another dogma of the Changkienomaniacs is that the renowned General should have also blessed his countrymen with the introduction of the cucumber (Cucumis sativus), styled hu kwa 胡瓜 ("Iranian melon") or hwan kwa 黄瓜 ("yellow melon").¹ The sole document on which this opinion is based is presented by the recent work of Li Ši-čen,² who hazards this bold statement without reference to any older authority. Indeed, such an earlier source does not exist: this bit of history is concocted ad hoc, and merely suggested by the name hu kwa. Any plants formed with the attribute hu were ultimately palmed off on the old General as the easiest way out of a difficult problem, and as a comfortable means of saving further thought.

Li Ši-čen falls back upon two texts only of the T'ang period,— the Pen ts'ao ši i, which states that the people of the north, in order to avoid the name of Ši Lo 石 勒 (A.D. 273-333), who was of Hu descent, tabooed the term hu kwa, and replaced it by hwan kwa; and the Ši i lu 拾遺錄 by Tu Pao 杜 贾, who refers this taboo to the year 608 (fourth year of the period Ta-ye of the Sui dynasty). If this information be correct, we gain a chronological clew as to the terminus a quo: the cucumber appears to have been in China prior to the sixth century A.D. Its cultivation is alluded to in the Ts'i min yao šu from the beginning of the sixth century, provided this is not an interpolation of later times.

According to Engler, the home of the cucumber would most prob-

¹Bretschneider, Chinese Recorder, 1871, p. 21 (accordingly adopted by DE CANDOLLE, Origin of Cultivated Plants, p. 266); Stuart, Chinese Materia Medica, p. 135. In Japanese, the cucumber is ki-uri.

² Pen ts'ao kan mu, Ch. 28, p. 5 b.

^{*}A number of other plant-names was hit by this taboo (cf. above, p. 298): thus the plant lo-lo 羅 前 (Ocimum basilicum), which bears the same character as Ši Lo's personal name, as already indicated in the Ts'i min yao šu (see also Ši wu ki yūan, Ch. 10, p. 30 b; Či wu min ši t'u k'ao, Ch. 5, p. 34; and Pen ts'ao kan mu, Ch. 26, p. 22 b). He is said to have also changed the name of the myrobalan ho-li-lo (below, p. 378) into ho-tse 河子. There is room for doubt, however, whether any of these plants existed in the China of his time; the taboo explanations may be makeshifts of later periods.

This is the Ta ye ši i lu (Records relative to the Ta-ye period, 605-618), mentioned by BRETSCHNEIDER (Bot. Sin., pt. 1, p. 195). The Pen ts'ao kan mu (Ch. 22, p. 1) quotes the same work again on the taboo of the term hu ma (p. 288), which in 608 was changed into kiao ma 交流.

⁵ Cf. Či wu min ši t'u k'ao, Ch. 5, p. 43.

⁶ In Hehn, Kulturpflanzen, p. 323.