ably be in India; and Watt¹ observes, "There seems to be no doubt that one at least of the original homes of the cucumber was in North India, and its cultivation can be traced to the most ancient classic times of Asia." De Candolle² traces the home of the plant to northwestern India. I am not yet convinced of the correctness of this theory, as the historical evidence in favor of India, as usual in such cases, is weak; and the cultivation of the cucumber in Egypt and among the Semites is doubtless of ancient date.⁴ At any rate, this Cucurbitacea belongs to the Egypto-West-Asiatic culture-sphere, and is not indigenous to China. There is, however, no trace of evidence for the gratuitous speculation that its introduction is due to General Čan K'ien. The theory that it was transmitted from Iranian territory is probable, but there is thus far no historical document to support it. The only trace of evidence thereof appears from the attribute Hu.

Abu Mansur mentions the cucumber under the name qittā, adding the Arabic-Persian xiyār and kawanda in the language of Khorasan. The word xiyār has been adopted into Osmanli and into Hindustānī in the form xīrā. Persian xāwuš or xāwaš denotes a cucumber kept for seed; it means literally "ox-eye" (gāv-aš; Avestan aši, Middle Persian aš, Sanskrit akṣi, "eye"), corresponding to Sanskrit gavākṣī ("a kind of cucumber"). A Pahlavi word for "cucumber" is vātran, which developed into New Persian bādran, bālan, or vāran (Afghan bādran).

¹ Commercial Products of India, p. 439. In Sanskrit the cucumber is trapuşa.

² Op. cit., p. 265.

³ Such a positive assertion as that of de Candolle, that the cucumber was cultivated in India for at least three thousand years, cannot be accepted by any serious historian.

⁴ V. Loret, Flore pharaonique, p. 75; C. Joret, Plantes dans l'antiquité, Vol. I, p. 61.

⁵ Achundow, Abu Mansur, p. 106.

⁶ This series is said to mean also "citron." The proper Persian word for the latter fruit is turunj (Afghan turanj, Balūči trunj). The origin of this word, as far as I know, has not yet been correctly explained, not even by Hübschmann (Armen. Gram., p. 266). Vullers (Lexicon persico-latinum, Vol. I, p. 439) tentatively suggests derivation from Sanskrit suranga, which is surely impossible. The real source is presented by Sanskrit mātulunga ("citron," Citrus medica).