plants. One is termed hui-hui tou ("Mohammedan bean"), first mentioned in the Kiu hwan pen ts'ao of the fourteenth century, called also na-ho tou 那合豆, the bean being roasted and eaten. The other, named hu tou, is identified with the wild hu tou of Č'en Ts'an-k'i; and Wu K'i-tsün, author of the Či wu min ši t'u k'ao, adds the remark, "What is now called hu tou grows wild, and is not the hu tou [that is, the pea] of ancient times."

14. On the other hand, the term hu tou refers also to Faba sativa (F. vulgaris, the vetch or common bean), according to Bretschneider, one of the cultivated plants introduced from western Asia into China, in the second century B.C., by the famous general Chang Kien. This is an anachronism and a wild statement, which he has not even supported by any Chinese text. The history of the species in China is lost, or was never recorded. The supposition that it was introduced from Iran is probable. It is mentioned under the name pag (gāvirs) in the Būndahišn as the chief of small-seeded grains. Abu Mansur has it under the Persian name bāqilā or bāqlā. Its cultivation in Egypt is of ancient date.

15. Ts'an tou 蠶豆. ("silkworm bean," so called because in its shape it resembles an old silkworm), Japanese soramame, the kidney-bean or horse-bean (Vicia faba), is also erroneously counted by Bretschneider among the Čan-K'ien plants, without any evidence being produced. It is likewise called hu tou 胡豆, but no historical documents touching on the introduction of this species are on record. It is not mentioned in T'ang or Sung literature, and seems to have been introduced not earlier than the Yüan period (1260–1367). It is spoken of in the Nun šu 農書 ("Book on Agriculture") of Wan Čen 王禛 of that period, and in the Kiu hwan pen ts'ao 教荒本草 of the early

¹ Bot. Sin., pt. II, No. 29.

The only text to this effect that I know of is the Pen ts'ao kin, quoted in the T'ai p'in yü lan (Ch. 841, p. 6 b), which ascribes to Čan K'ien the introduction of sesame and hu tou; but which species is meant (Pisum sativum, Faba sativa, or Vicia faba) cannot be guessed. The work in question certainly is not the Pen ts'ao kin of Šen-nun, but it must have existed prior to A.D. 983, the date of the publication of the T'ai p'in yü lan.

³ West, Pahlavi Texts, Vol. I, p. 90.

⁴ Achundow, Abu Mansur, p. 20.

⁵ V. LORET, Flore pharaonique, p. 94.

⁶ Chinese Recorder, 1871, p. 221 (thus again reiterated by DE CANDOLLE, Origin of Cultivated Plants, p. 318). The Kwań k'ün fań p'u (Ch. 4, p. 12 b) refers the above text from the T'ai p'in yü lan to this species, but also to the pea. This confusion is hopeless.