In the T'ang Annals we read that in the beginning of the period K'ai-yūan (A.D. 713-741) the country of K'an (Sogdiana), an Iranian region, sent as tribute to the Chinese Court coats-of-mail, cups of rock-crystal, bottles of agate, ostrich-eggs, textiles styled yüe no, dwarfs, and dancing-girls of Hu-sūan 胡旋 (Xwārism).¹ In the Ts'e fu yüan kwei the date of this event is more accurately fixed in the year 718.² The Man šu, written by Fan Čo of the T'ang period, about A.D. 860,³ mentions yüe no as a product of the Small P'o-lo-men 小婆羅門 (Brāhmaṇa) country, which was conterminous with P'iao 原 (Burma) and Mi-č'en (*Midžen) 彌臣.⁴ This case offers a parallel to the presence of tie in the Ai-lao country in Yūn-nan.

The Annals of the Sung mention yüe no as exported by the Arabs into China.⁵ The Lin wai tai ta,⁶ written by Čou K'ü-fei in 1178, mentions white yüe-no stuffs in the countries of the Arabs, in Bagdād, and

yüe-no stuffs in the country Mi 幔.

HIRTH⁷ was the first to reveal the term yue no in Cao Zu-kwa, who attributes white stuffs of this name to Bagdād. His transcription yutnok, made on the basis of Cantonese, has no value for the phonetic restoration of the name, and his hypothetical identification with cuttanee must be rejected; but as to his collocation of the second element with Marco Polo's nac, he was on the right trail. He was embarrassed, however, by the first element yue, "which can in no way be explained from Chinese and yet forms part of the foreign term." Hence in his complete translation of the work⁸ he admits that the term cannot as yet be identified. His further statement, that in the passage of the Tan Su, quoted above, the question is possibly of a country yue-no (Bukhārā), rests on a misunderstanding of the text, which speaks only of a textile or textiles. The previous failures in explaining the term simply result from the fact that no serious attempt was made to restore

¹ Cf. Chavannes, Documents sur les Tou-kiue occidentaux, pp. 136, 378, with the rectification of Pelliot (Bull. de l'Ecole française, Vol. IV, 1904, p. 483). Regarding the dances of Hu-süan, see Kin ši hwi yüan kiao k'an ki 近事會元校 記 (p. 3), Critical Annotations on the Kin ši hwi yüan by Li Šan-kiao李上交 of the Sung (in Ki fu ts'un šu, t'ao 10).

² CHAVANNES, T'oung Pao, 1904, p. 35.

³ See above, p. 468.

⁴ Man šu, p. 44 b (ed. of Yün-nan pei čen či). Regarding Mi-č'en, see Pelliot, Bull. de l'Ecole française, Vol. IV, p. 171.

⁵ Sun ši, Ch. 490; and Bretschneider, Knowledge possessed by the Chinese of the Arabs, p. 12. Bretschneider admitted that this product was unknown to him.

⁶ Ch. 3, pp. 2-3.

⁷ Länder des Islam, p. 42 (Leiden, 1894).

⁸ Chau Ju-kua, p. 220.