Äzrua, which in the Manichean texts of the Uigur appears as the name of an Iranian deity. C. Salemann¹ has promised a discussion of this word, but I have not yet seen this article. Meanwhile Gauthiot² has solved this problem on the basis of the Sogdian form 'zrw' (=azrwa), which appears as the equivalent of Brahma in the Sogdian Buddhist texts. The Sogdian word, according to him, is the equivalent of Avestan zrvan.

3. Mongol suburgan, tope, Stūpa, is derived from Uigur supurgan. The latter may be of Iranian origin, and, as suggested by GAUTHIOT, go back to spur-xān ("house of perfection").

- 4. Mongol titim, diadem, crown (corresponding in meaning to and rendering Sanskrit mukuṭa). This word is traceable to Sogdian δiδim.<sup>4</sup> The prototype is Greek διάδημα (whence our "diadem"), which has been preserved in Iran since Macedonian times.<sup>5</sup> In New Persian it is dāhīm or dēhīm, developed from an older \*dēδēm. Mongol titim, accordingly, cannot be derived from New Persian, but represents an older form of Iranian speech, which is justly correlated with the Sogdian form.
- 5. Mongol šimnus, a class of demons (in Buddhist texts, translation of Sanskrit Māra, "the Evil One"), is doubtless derived from Uigur šmnu, the latter from Sogdian šmnu.<sup>6</sup> Cf. also Altaic and Teleutic šulumys ("evil spirit").
- 6. In view of the Sogdian loan-words in Mongol, it is not impossible that, as suggested by F. W. K. MÜLLER, the termination -ntsa (-nča) in šibagantsa, čibagantsa, or šimnantsa ("bhikṣuṇī, nun;" Manchu čibahanči) should be traceable to the Sogdian feminine suffix -nč (presumably from inč, "woman"). The same ending occurs in Uigur upasanč (Sanskrit upāsikā, "Buddhist lay-woman") and Mongol ubasantsa. R. Gauthiot is certainly right in observing that it is im-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bull. de l'Acad. de St.-Pét., 1909, p. 1218.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In Chavannes and Pelliot, Traité manichéen, p. 47.

³ Ibid., p. 132.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Müller, Uigurica, p. 47.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Nöldeke, Persische Studien, II, p. 35; cf. also Hübschmann, Persische Studien, p. 199.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> F. W. K. Müller, Uigurica, p. 58; Soghdische Texte, I, pp. 11, 27. In Sogdian Christian literature, the word serves for the rendering of "Satan." According to Müller (SPAW, 1909, p. 847), also Mongol nišan ("seal") and badman (not explained) should be Middle Persian, and have found their way into Mongol through the medium of the Uigur.

<sup>7</sup> Uigurica, p. 47.

<sup>8</sup> Essai sur le vocalisme du sogdien, p. 112.