## APPENDIX V

## ADDITIONAL NOTES ON LOAN-WORDS IN TIBETAN

In my "Loan-Words in Tibetan" (Toung Pao, 1916, pp. 403-552) I was obliged to deal succinctly with some of the problems which are discussed at greater length in this volume. The brief notes given there on saffron, cummin, almond, alfalfa, coriander, etc., are now superseded by the contributions here inserted. A detailed history of Guinea pepper (No. 237) is now ready in manuscript, and will appear as a chapter in my "History of the Cultivated Plants of America." The numbers of the following additions refer to those of the former article.

Note the termination -e in the loan-words derived from the Indian vernaculars: bram-ze, neu-le, ma-he, sen-ge, ban-de, bhan-ge. This -e

appears to be identical with the nominative -e of Māgadhī.

49. ga-bur, camphor. Sir George A. Grierson (see below) observes, "The softening of initial k to g is, I think, certainly not Indian." The Tibetan form has always been a mystery to me: it is not only the initial g, but also the labial sonant b, which are striking as compared with the surds in Skr. karpūra. As is well known, this word has migrated westward, the initial k being retained everywhere: Persian-Arabic  $k\bar{a}f\bar{u}r$ (GARCIA: capur and cafur), Spanish alcanfor (Acosta: canfora). These forms share the loss of the medial r with Tibetan. This phenomenon pre-existed in Indian; for in Hindustānī we have kapūr, in Singhalese kapuru, in Javanese and Malayan kāpur. The Mongols have adopted from the Tibetans the same word as gabur; but, according to KOVALEV-SKI (p. 2431), there is also a Tibeto-Mongol spelling gad-pu-ra: this can only be a transcription of the Chinese type 羯布羅 kie-pu-lo, anciently \*g'ia&-bu-la, based on an Indian original \*garpūra, or \*garbūra. Tibetan ga-bur, of course, cannot be based on the Chinese form; but the latter doubtless demonstrates that, within the sphere of Indian speech, there must have been a dialectic variant of the word with initial sonant.

- 54. The Pol. D. (27, p. 31) gives nališam (printed ališam) as a Mongol word; assuredly it is not Tibetan. The corresponding Manchu word is xalxôri.
  - 58. Regarding šin-kun, see above, p. 362.
- 60. With respect to the Chinese transcription su-ki-mi-lo-si, Pelliot (Toung Pao, 1912, p. 455) had pointed out that the last element si