receives confirmation from the close affinity noted by the Tang Annals between the languages of Sarīkol and Khotan 9. This affinity is fully accounted for by the Iranian character of both, as proved for Khotan by the documents from Dandan-Uiliq in the so-called 'unknown language'10; and we find no difficulty whatever in assuming that the territory of Karghalik, intervening between Sarīkol and Khotan, similarly held a population speaking an Eastern Īrānian dialect.

When discussing above the evidence of the Tang Annals as to the ancient tongue of Pakhpos, a Sarīkol and Khotan we had occasion to refer to the Pakhpo tribe in the more remote mountain remnant of early tracts of the Karghalik district. Though their language still awaits investigation, there is little population. doubt that, on anthropological grounds, these hill-men must be classed with the Galcha or hill Tājik population found to the present day in Sarīkol and the valleys further west 11. If we may recognize in the Pakhpos a remnant of the original population of Karghalik, in this light Hui-shêng's statement becomes intelligible. The different ethnic conditions noted by Hsüantsang may have been a result of the domination of the Yeh-ta or White Hans, which Hui-shêng particularly mentions, and may have been confined to the lower and more accessible parts of the district. Through these alone the pilgrim is likely to have passed on his way to Khotan.

He refers, indeed, to a high mountain mass on the southern border of the district, with Buddhist its vegetation stunted through intense cold 12; but it is clear that his mention of it is solely legend of Chê-chüdue to a pious legend manifestly heard at a distance. Numerous 'niches and stone chambers' chia. on the flanks of the great mountain were believed to have been miraculously frequented by Arhats from India, who obtained Nirvāņa there. Popular belief in Hsüan-tsang's time still supposed certain deep rock caverns to be tenanted by three Arhats whose minds had become extinguished in complete ecstasy, while the hair of their withered bodies was still growing.

M. Chavannes was the first to recognize that the notices in the Tang Annals and Sung Chu-chu-po Yün's itinerary of a kingdom variously designated as Chu-chü-po or Chu-chü-pan, immediately in Tang Annals. to the west of Khotan, referred to the same territory which Hsüan-tsang calls Chê-chü-chia 13. The special notice of the T'ang-shu, as extracted by M. Chavannes from chapter ccxxi 14, mentions this kingdom between those of Su-lê (Kāshgar) and Ho-p'an-t'o (Sarīkol), and tells us: 'Le Tchou-kiu-po (Chu-chü-po) est appelé aussi Tchou-kiu-pan (Chu-chü-pan); c'est le royaume de Tse-ho (Tzŭ-ho) de l'époque des Hans. Il s'est annexé et possède le territoire des quatre peuples appelés Si-ye (Hsi-yeh), P'ou-li (P'u-li), I-nai et To-jo 15. Il est à mille li droit à l'ouest de Yu-t'ien (Khotan) et à trois cents li au nord des Ts'ong-ling. À l'ouest il touche au Ho-p'an-t'o (Tach-Kourgane); à neuf cents li vers le nord, il se rattache à Sou-le (Kachgar); à trois mille li au sud se trouve le royaume des femmes. Il a deux mille soldats d'élite; il honore la loi de Bouddha; l'écriture y est la même que celle des P'o-lo-men (Brahmanes-Hindous).'

The position here indicated clearly coincides with that of the present Karghalik district Territories and Hsüan-tsang's Chê-chü-chia, though the estimated distances to Khotan and Kāshgar are in Chu-chüsomewhat in excess of those given in the Hsi-yü-chi. The reference to several earlier territories po.

⁹ Compare above, pp. 26 sq.

¹⁰ See below, chap. ix. sec. v.

¹¹ Compare above, p. 25.

¹² See Mémoires, ii. p. 222. Here, too, Julien's translation seems more accurate than that of Beal, ii. p. 308.

¹³ See Turcs occid., p. 123, note 1; Voyage de Song Yun, p. 19, note 4. For references to the passages offering the various forms of the name Chu-chü-po (Tchou-kiu-po) 朱俱波,朱駒波 and Chu-chü-pan (Tchou-kiu-

pan) 朱俱般,朱駒半, &c., compare Turcs occid., p. 366.

¹⁴ See Turcs occid., p. 123.

¹⁵ A passage of the Pei shih quoted by M. Chavannes (Voyage de Song Yun, p. 19, note 4), which mentions the same territory under the name of Hsi-chü-pan (Si-kiu-pan) 悉居半, similarly identifies it with the ancient kingdom of Hsi-yeh (Si-ye), which was also called Tzŭ-ho (Tse-ho).