(Kha. i. 183) and a Gunaparyantastotra (Kha. i. 199. b) which Prof. de la Vallée Poussin has published already in extenso.3

MSS. in Brāhmī script and 'Khotanese' language.

Of the very numerous leaves written in Brāhmī script, whether of the 'upright or cursive Gupta' type, and in the so-called 'North-Aryan' language, Īrānian in character, the inventory F, kindly promised by Dr. A. F. Rudolf Hoernle, the pioneer student of this language, will furnish adequate details. Meanwhile I must limit myself to the statement that one of the texts in cursive Gupta characters is written on the back of a paper roll, about ten inches high, which was found in two separate and well-preserved pieces, about three feet and one and a half feet long respectively. This contains on its obverse a neatly written Chinese text which has been identified by M. Chavannes as part of a Prajñā-pāramitā version. This procedure of using the good paper of a Chinese manuscript roll for a subsequently copied text in the local language has found ample illustration from manuscripts preserved at the 'Thousand Buddhas'. As if to illustrate further the polyglot character of Khotan Buddhism, a line in Tibetan, too, appears at the bottom of the smaller of the two roll pieces, Kha. i. 221. The find of a Tibetan record on wood has been mentioned already. All the written remains from the site acquire additional interest from the fact that the terminus ad quem for their origin can be fixed with certainty at the close of the eighth century A. D.

Style of art finds resembles that of Dandānoilik.

This chronological fact also helps us to appreciate fully the close affinity which the artistic remains of Khādalik show to those I recovered from the ruined Buddhist shrines of Dandānoilik; for we know by equally certain evidence that the latter were abandoned at the same period. The uniformity of style is striking both in the sculptural decoration and in what has survived of the wall paintings. Taking the former first, we find all elements of the stucco relievo ornamentation of the walls, familiar from Dandān-oilik, here duly represented. From the vesicas of large images come those abundant fragments of lotus-petal wreaths and flame-pattern borders attached to them on the outside, of which Plates XV, XVI offer typical specimens (Kha. vii. 001–004; Kha. ix. 004; Kha. ii. 0046; cf. also Kha. ii. 001, 002 in List). These as well as other ornamental details, like the bead and lotus-petal border, Kha. ii. C. 004; ii. 0074 (Plate XVI), look almost like replicas of the specimens from Dandān-oilik reproduced in Plates LV-LVII of Ancient Khotan.

Appliqué relievos in stucco. When we turn to the small appliqué relievos representing divine figures, once disposed within the vesicas, the resemblance is quite as strong. Thus exact counterparts of familiar Dandān-oilik relievos may be recognized in the Buddha standing with the hand raised in the Abhayamudrā (Kha. i. 001, with Kha. i. C. 008 in Plate XV); the seated Buddha, as seen in Kha. i. S.W. 0010, Plate XV, and those graceful figures of garland-holding Gandharvīs in flight, of which Plate XV shows specimens (Kha. i. E. 0039; ii. N.W. 005; ii. W. 001). To judge by their frequency—they occur also often in the pose of adoration, as in Kha. ii. N.W. 003, 004, Plate XV (see Kha. i. N. 002)—the latter figures must have been quite as great favourites here as with sculptor-decorators of Dandān-oilik. It need cause no surprise that by the side of figures so closely allied in style there appears also an occasional plaque which, like the seated Buddha, Kha. 05 (Pl. XV), seems to reproduce an earlier type already seen in the appliqué relievos of Rawak or Ak-terek (see A.T. iii. 0089 in Plate VIII; Ancient Khotan, Plate LXXXVII).

Finds of moulds used for decorative relievos.

A simple explanation is supplied by the continued use of moulds which in certain cases may have dated back far beyond the erection of the particular shrine. Hence it is of particular interest to note that the ruins of Khādalik have yielded a number of moulds in plaster of Paris (Kha. i. 0015; ii. 0074, 0075; Plate XVI) which must have been actually used for the original